### **Concurrency Control**

Azza Abouzied

## Synchronization

Multi-threaded Ad Server counts number of times an ad was served: 0.001 fils/ad!!!

```
int hc; //global variable holding count of total hits
void update_hit_counter{
    hc++;
}
```

hc++;

#### becomes

movlhc, %eax;get hcaddl\$1, %eax;increment hcmovl%eax, hc;store hc

#### The Life of Two Threads

Thread 1	Thread 2
movl hc, %eax	movl hc, %eax
get hc $\rightarrow$ 5	get hc $\rightarrow$ 6
addl \$1, %eax	addl \$1, %eax
increment hc $\rightarrow$ 6	increment hc $\rightarrow$ 7
movl %eax, hc	movl %eax, hc
store hc $\rightarrow$ 6	store hc $\rightarrow$ 7

Thread 1	Thread 2
movl hc, %eax	
get hc $ ightarrow$ 5	
	movl hc, %eax
	get hc $ ightarrow$ 5
addl \$1, %eax	
increment hc $ ightarrow$ 6	
	addl \$1, %eax
	increment hc $ ightarrow$ 6
movl %eax, <mark>hc</mark>	
store hc $ ightarrow$ 6	
	<mark>movl</mark> %eax, <mark>hc</mark>
	store hc $\rightarrow$ 6

# Race Conditions

#### **Race Conditions**

Order of threads affects outcome of the computation then we have race conditions. These create non-determinism!

Code paths that access/manipulate shared data are critical sections.

If a critical section executes atomically then we prevent concurrent access to shared data at critical sections.

Thread 1	Thread 2
get hc; increment hc; store hc;	
	get hc; increment hc; store hc;
Thread 1	Thread 2
	get hc; increment hc; store hc;
get hc; increment hc; store hc;	

### What about mutual exclusion? Locking

Thread 1	Thread 2
try to acquire lock	try to acquire lock
Success: lock acquired	Failed: wait
get hc	wait
increment hc	wait
store hc	wait
unlock lock	wait
	Success: lock acquired

But you just pushed the problem to this lock thing ... how do you make a lock?

What if another process ignores the locks: locks are advisory and voluntary

#### Sources of Concurrency

- Interrupts
- User-space preemption: The scheduler decides when to preempt you and when to execute you
- Kernel preemption: The kernel itself is a multi-threaded beast sharing address space and is preemptive
- Sleep, Block
- SMP: two processors can be executing the same code at exactly the same time (kernel or user)

- Interrupts interrupt-safe
- User-space preemption: The scheduler decides when to preempt you and when to execute you preempt-safe
- Kernel preemption: The kernel itself is a multi-threaded beast sharing address space and is preemptive preempt-safe
- Sleep, Block preempt-safe
- SMP: two processors can be executing the same code at exactly the same time (kernel or user) SMP-safe

## Synchronization Primitives

```
int hc_busy;
int hc;
void update_hit_counter(){
  while(hc_busy);
  hc_busy = 1;
  hc++; //Critical Section
  hc_busy = 0;
}
```

#### Why does this not work?

```
int hc_busy;
int hc;
void update_hit_counter(){
  while(hc_busy);
  hc_busy = 1;
  hc++; //Critical Section
  hc_busy = 0;
}
```

Why does this not work?

The crux of any synchronization primitive is the ability to run atomic operations. All architectures provide a test\_and\_set() instruction. On x86 this is the

lock cmpxchg %eax, lock\_in\_mem

```
int hc_busy;
int hc;
void update_hit_counter(){
  while(1){ //Spin Lock
    if(test_and_set(hc_busy, 1)){
       hc++; //Critical Section
      test_and_set(hc_busy, 0);
       return;
```

What if you have no hardware support for atomic operations?

# If interrupts are sources of concurrency, can't we just disable interrupts?

cli disabled interrupts on a uniprocessor, sti enabled interrupts.

```
int hc:
int thread_waiting[2];
void update_hit_counter(int me){
  thread_waiting[me] = 1;
  while(1){
    if (thread_waiting [!me] == 0) break;
  hc++;
  thread_waiting[me] = 0;
```

#### Peterson's algorithm

```
int hc;
int turn;
int thread_waiting[2];
void update_hit_counter(int me){
  thread_waiting[me] = 1;
  turn = me; //my turn to wait
  while(1){
    if (thread_waiting [me] == 0) break;
    if(turn != me) break;
  hc++;
  thread_waiting[me] = 0;
```

## Questions?